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Financing Truths

- It is cheaper to protect than to restore.
- Taking action today is cheaper than taking action tomorrow.
- There is not, has never been, and never will be enough grant money – public or private – to fund natural resources protection and restoration, including farmland preservation.
Effective Financing Strategies

- Community-based, incorporating all stakeholder groups
- Integrated, combining a variety of sources, instruments, and institutions
- Mirror the resource, incorporating multiple landowners, land uses, and landscapes
Components of a Financing Strategy

**Revenue Generators**
- Grants, loans, technical assistance programs
- Sustainable, dedicated revenue streams (taxes, fees, surcharges)

**Cost Reducers**
- Effective, enforced regulations
- Partnerships
- Coordination with other community programs and priorities
Assessing the equity, appropriateness, and stability of a revenue source

- How much money will the source generate? Will the revenue grow at a rate similar to the growth in value of remaining farmland?
- How difficult will it be to obtain voter approval for the revenue source?
- Does the mechanism maximize the ratio of “winners” to “losers”? Can it be spread over a broad base to minimize negative impacts per capita?
- How predictable and secure is the funding source?
- Does the funding technique have a strong connection to agriculture?

Source: American Farmland Trust and the Michigan State University Land Policy Institute
Case Study: Franklin Land Trust, Western MA

- Loomis Farm – plans to purchase farmstead for 40-lot subdivision
- Partnered with state’s affordable housing program
- Used “limited development potential” strategy to improve financial viability of project without losing core conservation value
Case Study: Acme Township, MI

- Voters passed a 10 year, one mill property tax increase for agricultural conservation, open space, and farmland acquisition in 2004
- Yield: $2.5 million over the 10 year period
- Extensive one-on-one resident education
- Funds used to hire farmland preservation specialist in partnership with Peninsula Township
Case Study: Granville Township, OH

- Open Space Levies
  - 1998 – 1 mill levy (renewed once, up for second renewal)
  - 2000 – 2.5 mill levy (renewed once)
- Township receives $700,000/yr from the levies dedicated to Open Space Program
- Protection of ag lands is one of the program goals
- Open Space advisory group guides township trustees
Case Study: Cacapon & Lost Rivers Land Trust, WV

- 30 conservation easements protecting 9,000 acres in the watershed
- Diverse financing strategy:
  - Donated easements
  - Partnerships
    - Potomac Land Conservancy
    - State of WV
    - Highway Mitigation Program
  - Voluntary surcharge for summer campers
Case Study: Tecumseh Land Trust, Yellow Springs, OH

- Land Trust protects about 10,000 acres via conservation easement
- Most of OH land is divided into 50-200 acre farms (some counties losing 1,100 acres per year to residential development)
- Approximately 40 businesses in the region participate
Case Study: Eight-Year Program (MAFPP), NJ

- Voluntary
- Landowners deed-restrict farms to agricultural use for 8 years
- Makes them eligible for:
  - Matching grants for soil and water conservation projects
  - Additional protection from eminent domain, energy and water restrictions
- Good way to start new agricultural districts
- Benefit PDR applications
Case Study: Lorain County, OH

- Creation of Joint Economic Development District (JEDD)
  - Lorain County
  - Pittsfield Township
  - City of Oberlin
- 20% of the township outside of the city limits designated as development zone
- Township receives a share of Oberlin’s tax receipts for 50 years
Case Study: Planning Incentive Grant (PIG), NJ

- Seeks to conserve contiguous farms
- Preferably funded by innovative financing:
  - Installment payment (preference given to long-term payout plan)
  - Option agreements
  - Donations
  - Bargain sales
- Provides for possibility of nearly instantaneous stabilization of an agricultural district
UMD study (Duke & Lynch 2006)

- Rights of First Refusal
- Term Conservation Easements
- Land Preservation Tontines
- Agricultural Conservation Pension

Rights of First Refusal

- Lets agencies match offers agricultural landowners receive from developers
- Only parcels actually threatened are targeted
- Government can resell land for agricultural use with an easement attached

**Drawbacks:**
- Threat of collusion
- Developer animosity
Term Conservation Easements

- Government or nonprofit pays landowner a rental fee for a temporary easement
- Set of activities prohibited for a set period of time
- May be an effective, temporary solution in a rapidly developing area
Land Preservation Tontines

- **Premise**: Conversion of neighboring farmland reduces the efficiency of remaining farmers
- Thus, neighbors enter into a land preservation tontine to provide an incentive for keeping land in agricultural use
- Two possible models:
  - Claims to fund that owners forfeit upon conversion *or*
  - Claims to a penalty that converting owners pay to those who stay
- As pool of owners shrinks, payouts rise and the last owner “wins” (i.e. incentive to keep land)
- Essentially a contract among landowners, not between landowners and government
Agricultural Conservation Pension

- Premise: land = retirement fund
- Guarantees retirement income to farmers who attach an easement to their land
- Expected value of pension may > expected returns to selling the land
- Annuity version: pension runs with the land rather than the owner
- Owner version: payments tied to individual/couple
Up for discussion...

- What do you see as obstacles to the use of Installment Purchase Agreements (IPAs) in PA?
- How well has the farmland preservation community connected with the local farms = local food trend?
- Could you share your experiences with any of Duke & Lynch’s 4 strategies: ROFR, Term Conservation Easements, Tontines, or Agricultural Conservation Pensions?
Protecting Land Conservation Funding in Tough Economic Times

1. Communicate
2. Anticipate the problem
3. Monitor the state budget
4. Form alliances
5. Gather grassroots support
6. Develop relationships with state legislators
7. Develop an effective message
8. Create on-line advocacy network
9. Develop good relationships with media and use them
10. Compromise

Source: The Conservation Fund, 2003
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